THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
JEFF DAL CERRO, No. 104342
180 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94105-1639
Telephone: (415) 538-2000

JUL 22 2009
STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

IN THE STATE BAR COURT OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE CONVICTION OF:) Case No. 06-C-14843
JAGDIP S. SEKHON, No. 170324) Transmittal of Records of Conviction of Attorney (Bus. & Prof.) Code §§ 6101-6102; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et seq.)
A Member of the State Bar) [X] Felony;) [X] Crime(s) involved moral turpitude;) [] Probable cause to believe the crime(s) involved moral turpitude;) [] Crime(s) which may or may not involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline;) [] Transmittal of Notice of Finality of Conviction.) [X] SUMMARY DISBARMENT IMPLICATIONS
To the CLERK OF THE STATE BAR CO	OURT:
1. Transmittal of records.	
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et se	Business and Professions Code, section 6101-6102 and California q., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of he following member of the Bar Court and for such consideration and propriate:
[] B. Notice of Appeal	
[] C. Evidence of Finality of Conv	iction (Notice of Lack of Appeal)
D. Other	
Name of Member: JAGDIP S. S. Date member admitted to practice la	w in California: June 7, 1994
-	Sekhon & Sekhon 601 Montgomery St, Ste 402
	San Francisco, CA 94111-2607
2. Date and court of conviction; offense(s	
	25, 2009

Convicting court:

United States District Court, Eastern Dist. of CA, Sacramento

Case number(s):

United States Dist. Ct., Eastern Dist. of Sacramento Case No. 2:06-cr-00058-FCD

Crime(s) of which convicted and classification(s): Violation of 18 U.S.C. §371 (conspiracy to defraud the United States and to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1546(a)), as found under Count One, a felony that necessarily involves moral turpitude. Conspiracy involves moral turpitude per se if the object of the conspiracy involves moral turpitude (see *In re McAllister* (1939) 14 Cal.2d 602, 603). The conspiracy to defraud the United States is a crime that may or may not involve moral turpitude (e.g., *In re Chernik* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 467; *In the Matter of Rech* (Review Dept. 1995) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 310, 313). However, the conspiracy to violate section 1546(a) involves moral turpitude because, as noted above, the violation of section 1546(a) involves moral turpitude per se.

[X] 3. Compliance with Rule 9.20. (Applicable only if checked.)

We bring to the Court's attention that, should the Court enter an order of interim suspension herein, the Court may wish to require the above-named member to comply with the provisions of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, paragraph (a), within 30 days of the effective date of any such order; and to file the affidavit with the Clerk of the State Bar Court provided for in paragraph (c) of rule 9.20 within 40 days of the effective date of said order, showing the member's compliance with the provisions of rule 9.20.

] 4. Other information to assist the State Bar Court

DOCUMENTS TRANSMITTED:

Criminal Docket Second Superseding Indictment filed December 13, 2007 Verdict filed June 25, 2009

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: July 20, 2009

Jeff Dal Cerro

Assistant Chief Trial Counsel

A copy of this transmittal and its Attachments have been sent to:

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL
JAGDIP S. SEKHON
Sekhon & Sekhon
601 Montgomery St, Ste 402
San Francisco, CA 94111-2607

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 06-C-14843

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit. That in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

TRANSMITTAL OF CONVICTION RE JAGDIP S. SEKHON

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as regular mail, at San Francisco, on the date shown below, addressed to:

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL
JAGDIP S. SEKHON
Sekhon & Sekhon
601 Montgomery St, Ste 402
San Francisco, CA 94111-2607

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, on the date shown below.

Signed: This	Willia	Date: 1/21/09
t		